# **CPPopt without 'Cogitates'**





### can we manage patients? teamwork







celeste.dias@med.up.pt

# **CPPopt without 'Cogitates'**







# **'cogitate'** think deeply about something meditate or reflect







**Celeste Dias** 

celeste.dias@med.up.pt

## **CPP one size fits all?**

the driving force of cerebral blood flow across the microvascular capillary bed.



## **CPP one size fits all?**

the driving force of cerebral blood flow across the microvascular capillary bed.



## **CPP one size fits all?**

the driving force of cerebral blood flow across the microvascular capillary bed.



### **CPPopt**

individualized CPP according to the autoregulation status

CPP = MAP-ICP $PRx = \rho MAP, ICP$ 

CPPopt = f (PRx)

**Luzius Steiner** 

'The Cambridge Hypothesis'

CPP should be kept at the CPP where an individual patient autoregulates most efficiently



from <a href="http://cppopt.org/cppopt-calculation-visualisation/">http://cppopt.org/cppopt-calculation-visualisation/</a>

## **CPPopt: visual analysis and decision steps**



#### Clinical Decision Support System approach:

- CPPopt value and curve, updated every minute, in a 4 hr calculation window
- at least 75% of time good recordings of CPP and ICP values had to be available in the 4hr calculation window
- average PRx values had to be < 0.25 the past 4hrs
- select the CPP value with most negative PRx value covered by the curve.
- U-shaped, ascending and descending curves were accepted in case the overall PRx<0.25.

### **TBI: CPPopt and CPP management**



#### CPP management with PRx and CPPopt:

- a) When possible, we guide CPP management using the bedside CPPopt values.
- b) Management of CPPopt values with: adequate sedoanalgesia, oxygenation, ventilation, control of temperature, vasopressor therapy, fluid balance and treat intracranial hypertension.
- c) When CPPopt is not available, we keep CPP between 60-70 mmHg in accordance to BFT Guidelines.



### **CPPopt, pbtO2 and vasospasm management**



### CPPopt, outcome and advanced neuromonitoring, 2019

### severe TBI and spontaneous SAH with advanced vs standard neuromonitoring

- **3 and 6M outcome** of the 2 groups of patients
- except for age there was no difference between the two groups at baseline, namely for GCS and SAPS II.
- Advanced neuromonitoring group had a significantly better outcome (GOS) at 3 and 6 months and lower mortality. Adjusting outcome for age, patients with advanced neuromonitoring had a lower risk of bad outcome.



Out Mortalit	come y and GOS	Advanced Monitoring n (%)	Standard Monitoring n (%)	<i>p</i> value	Odds ratio (adjusted for age)
	Good*	50 (75.8)	110 (50.0)	0.01	
at 3 months	Bad**	16 (24.2)	110 (50.0)		0.485(0.248-0.950)
	Mortality	7 (10.6)	54 (24.5)	0.015	0.579(0.238-1.404)
	Good*	50 (76.9)	119 (58.0)	0.006	
at 6 months	Bad**	15 (23.1)	86 (42.0)		0.632(0.316-1.263)
	Mortality	9 (13.8)	52 (25.4)	0.053	0.798(0.346-1.838)

\*Good = GOS 4+5 \*\*Bad= GOS1+2+3

### **CPPopt, the first published results, 2015**

neurocritical Neurocrit Care society DOI 10.1007/s1 Published online: 08 January 2015 0 DOI 10.1007/s12028-014-0103-8 ORIGINAL ARTICLE - prx **Optimal Cerebral Perfusion Pressure Management at Bedside:** A Single-Center Pilot Study 1/5 21:30 1/5 22:00 1/5 22:30 1/5 23:00 1/5 23:30 2/5 00:00 Celeste Dias · Maria João Silva · Eduarda Pereira · Elisabete Monteiro · 0.0 Isabel Maia · Silvina Barbosa · Sofia Silva · Teresa Honrado · António Cerejo · Marcel J. H. Aries · Peter Smielewski · José-Artur Paiva · Marek Czosnyka -0.0! <50 52,50 57,50 62,50 67,50 72,50 77,50 82,50 87,50 92,50 97,50 102,5 CV reactivity preserved (PRx < 0.25) (n=15) CDD [] mean PRx = -0.04 (SD 0.13) ٠ CV reactivity impaired (PRx > 0.25) (n=3) mean PRx = 0.29 (SD 0.04) <50 62,50 67,50 82,50 87,50 52,50 57,50 72,50 77,50 92,50 97,50 102,5 . CPP (mmHg) p=0.06 10 60



- cpp - ICF

2/5 00:30

107,5 112,5 117,5 >= 120

107,5 112,5 117,5 >= 120

2/5 01

There were no differences in age, SAPSII, and Marshall scores, but patients with overall preserved autoregulation presented significantly higher GCS at admission.

### **CPPopt** vs CPP and outcome at 6M, 2018

#### severe TBI and spontaneous SAH

- **6M outcome** of patients at NCCU managed according to CPPopt
- Patients at the general ICU are managed according to guidelines
- No difference between age, gender and severity scores between groups

*p*<0,001

	NCCU n, (%)	General ICU n, (%)	Surgical ICU n, (%)
Bad outcome (GOS 1, 2, 3)	15 (14%)	41 (38%)	52 (33%)
Good outcome (GOS 4,5)	50 (47%)	35 (33%)	22 (21%)
	65	76	74

# CPPopt, outcome and ICH, 2019



### **CPP-CPPopt** along time and outcome at 3M, 2019

Demogr	aphic Data	Mean/Median (+/-sd or IQR) 92	
Number	of Patients		
Age (Year	s)	53 ± 21	
Condor	Male	79 (86%)	
Gender	Female	13 (14%)	
GCS at ac	Imission	7 (IQR 5)	
<b>APACHE</b>	I	19 ± 6	
Apache II	mortality (%)	33 ± 17%	
CT Marsh	all score	3 (IQR 2)	
Outcom	e Data		
LOS ICU (	days)	22 ± 26	
LOS Hosp	o (days)	48 ± 48	
Mortality	1	14 (15.2%)	
GOS at 3	months	3 (IQR 2)	
Monitor	ring Data	Sta Sta	
ICP (mmł	Hg)	11,19 ± 5,79	
CPP (mm	Hg)	85,91 ± 7,37	
PRx		0,03 ± 0,19	
CPPopt (I	mmHg)	88,74 ± 8,54	
CPP-CPP	opt (mmHg)	-2.83 + 10.23	

#### Model for time-effect on outcome of CPP-CPPopt



While, at day 0 CPP-CPPopt is not significantly different between dead and alive, as time evolves during the first 10 days of the study, the model expects: (1) alive individuals to significantly increase CPP-CPPopt within positive range on average by 0.5 each day; (2) dead individuals to progressively lower their CPP-CPPopt values within negative range, at a rate of 0.6 per day (p=0.048).



### Thanks for your attention